

April 18, 1973

loss to the Nebraska economy because of the lack of adequate transportation far exceeds any amount of federal revenue sharing dollars the state will receive this year or any other year. So, therefore, I commend Senator Carpenter for introducing the Resolution.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President and fellow members, this is probably the first time in the history of the agriculture program since back in the 30's we have had this, what I call a rather highly unethical method of doing business of not reopening the sign up period aft..when the rules have changed. This has never happened before in the history of the program which has been running some thirty years or more.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I got in here a little late and didn't hear the beginning of the Resolution but I certainly want to support this Resolution. It doesn't specifically state in it that somebody is going to Washington but as one who is involved in Agricultural Stabilization work prior to coming down here for a few years, I have a little familiarity with the administration of these programs. Many times changes like this are made by, and I do not use the term in a derogatory manner, but are made by bureaucrats in Washington that really don't understand what is happening on a grass roots level. In regard to going to Washington, I agree with Senator Schmit, sometimes you wonder if things do any good but that's never the right opinion to take. You hear lots of people say you can't stop bureaucracy but, as attested to by the not-building the now famous or infamous, whichever it is, Platte River dam, this is one of the greatest things that Nebraska ever stopped from having happen here in battling the bureaucracy. So, I am sure that some good can be accomplished by proper procedures and I heartily support this Resolution.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett, then Senator Johnson.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, Senator Carpenter, would there be any objection to amending your motion and including the four previous gentlemen that went back to Washington and have them go again because they are knowledgeable with the program. They are knowledgeable with what happened back there a week ago and I think that if we would include this in your motion, that they are familiar with the program unless one of them can't go. I would, certainly, support amending this to this point.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I think we ought to leave it alone and let them make up their mind. Some may not want to go. They will have to make a determination by contact in Washington how soon they should go. There is pending legislation here that many members, I presume, would like to be here to vote on them. I think we ought to expedite, as much as we can, the bills on Final Reading, so, they will not be inconvenienced by wanting to be two places at one time.

SENATOR BARNETT: Well, that will be OK. I just thought maybe it would be more convenient beings that they had already operated in that area.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I think it better to let them make the decision themselves and if four is not enough, why what difference if you have one more. It 's not a static number. It is whatever they think they want to do.